Simulation of a Superconductor Fault Current Limiter with finite element method using A-V-H formulation
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Abstract: Nowadays, the complexity of electrical power systems is increasing. Consequently, the occurrence and the amplitude of the fault current are rising. This fault currents harm the substations’ electrical equipment. Besides, the growth in the fault current level is forcing the change of the circuit breakers to others with a higher interruption capability. A proposal to solve this problem is the fault current limiter (FCL). This equipment has low impedance in the normal operation and high impedance in a short circuit moment. Superconductors are an advantageous choice of material in this case, because of their properties. In order to simulate this equipment, the 2-D Finite Element Method (FEM) has been used. In this paper, a novel FEM simulation analysis of the saturated core Superconductor Fault Current Limiter (SFCL) is proposed using the A-V-H formulation. The current distribution in the superconducting coil is observed. The results are compared to the limited fault current measurements and simulations available in the literature.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the years, the power demand has been growing to attend the needs of the countries and continents (“World Energy Outlook 2019 - Analysis,” n.d.). Hence, a rise in fault current amplitude and the number of occurrences is observed. Examples of this effect are distributed generation and offshore systems. These examples have the same characteristic, low impedance. Then, the short circuit level for these systems is high, increasing operation cost to industries (Hasan et al., 2008; Raza et al., 2018).

A proposal to reduce short circuit level is the Superconducting Fault Current Limiter (SFCL) (Hong et al., 2009; Neumueller et al., 2009). In the literature, there are two more common types of SFCL (Dommerque et al., 2010; Elschner et al., 2012, 2011). The first one is called resistive-SFCL and the second one is named such as inductive-SFCL. The inductive SFCL type has two ramifications: the first one named inductive saturated core SFCL, and the second topology called inductive shielded core SFCL (Hekmati, 2014; Morandi et al., 2013). The thermal-electromagnetic models, projects and experimental tests of the shielded core inductive type are presented in (Ferreira et al., 2015; Qiu et al., 2018; Rajabi and Mousavi G., 2019).

The inductive saturated cores SFCL has two iron cores per phase, two ac windings, and a DC bias to saturate the iron cores in normal operation. The conceptual three-phase designing of this equipment is shown in figure 1. The conceptual three-phase design of this equipment is shown in figure 2. It is possible to see in figure 1 that there is one DC bias to the magnetization of all iron cores. The six AC windings are used as a couple per phase. Therefore, there are two AC connections per phase, where the SFCL is connected into the grid, and there are connections for the DC circuit. This equipment was simulated by FEM and electromagnetic circuit in (Vilhena et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011), and it was tested in (Fajoni et al., 2015; Moriconi et al., 2011; Nikulshin et al., 2016).

Fig 1 Schematic saturated iron-core inductive SFCL.

The objective of this paper is to analyze a saturated iron core SFCL with bidimensional finite elements using the A-V-H formulation. This novel formulation is joining the A-V with H formulations. The first one has the vector magnetic potential
as the state variable, and it is already implemented in various commercial software.

The second formulation was developed to simulate materials with high non-linearity in the E-J curve, and it has the magnetic field intensity as the state variable. They are coupled using boundary conditions.

The results show the distribution of the current density. The prospective current and the limited short circuit are also presented.

Fig 2 Design of the saturated inductive core SCFL.

2. Methodology

2.1 A-V-H Formulation

This section explains the FEM technique used in this work. In A-V-H, the domains are divided into two regions. Figure 3 demonstrates the division with tramodel line. This boundary divides the regions into normal (simulated by A-formulation) and superconducting (simulated by H-formulations). The region $\Omega_{sup}$ contains the superconductor material and it is simulated by H formulation. The $\Omega_{non-sup}$ is the region without superconductor and the applied formulation is A-V. Therefore, it is possible to use non-linear models for ferromagnetic materials. Hence, the model converges fast. Implementation is easy since there are many commercial software that have the A-V formulation ready for use.

The A-V formulation is defined by

$$
\sigma(|E|) \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla^2 A = J_e \\
B = \nabla \times A \\
J = \sigma(|E|)E + J_e \\
E = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}
$$

where $A$ is the magnetic vector potential, $\sigma(|E|)$ is the electrical conductivity, $J_e$ is the external current density, $J$ is the total current density, $B$ is the magnetic flux density, $E$ is the electrical field and $\mu$ is the magnetic permeability.

The H-formulation is defined by

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \nabla \times E = 0 \\
J = \nabla \times H \\
E = \rho(|J|)J \\
\rho(|J|) = \frac{E_c|J|}{J_c}^{n-1}
$$

where $\rho(|J|)$ is the electrical resistivity of the superconductor, $J_c$ is the critical current density, $E_c$ is the critical electrical field (typically $1 \text{ kV/cm}$), $n$ is the transition index. To apply current in the superconductor, an integral restriction is used:

$$
I_{app} - \int J \cdot dS = 0
$$

The coupling conditions are imposed in the weak form of the finite elements and are given by.

![Fig 3 Division of regions in the A-V-H formulation.](image-url)
\[ H^\mu_{\text{test}}(A^2) \] – Applied in A-formulation  
\[ E^A_{\text{test}}(H^\mu) \] – Applied in H-formulation  

In this case, \( H \) is the source for the A-V formulation and \( E \) is the source for the 2D H formulation, constructed for the 2D (Brambilla et al., 2018; Dias et al., 2019; Santos, n.d.).

2.2 A-V-H formulation applied in the SFCL problem

The superconducting winding is modeled by the homogenization process (Sass et al., 2015). Therefore, the engineering critical current density is given by equation 12-13:

\[ J_{\text{Eng}} = J_c \cdot \gamma \]  
\[ \gamma = \frac{A_{\text{superconductor}}}{A_{\text{tape}}} \]

where \( J_{\text{Eng}} \) is the engineering critical current density, \( A_{\text{superconductor}} \) and \( A_{\text{tape}} \) are the cross-section area of the superconductor and tape respectively. In this work is used the Anderson-Kim model for modeling the current density’s dependence of the magnetic flux density:

\[ J_c(|B|) = \frac{J_{c0}}{1 + \left(\frac{B_y}{B_0}\right)^n} \]

where \( J_{c0} \) is the critical current density at self-field in 77K, \( B_y \) is the y component of the magnetic flux, \( B_0 \) is the parameter obtained from experimental curve. \( J_{c0} \) is given by the \( I_c \) measured during the V-I test after the critical current is divided by height and width of the superconductor. In this article, \( B_0 \) is equal to 0.5 T and the critical current is 250 A.

The 2D-geometry is shown in figure 4, where the left and right rectangles represent the copper winding, and the central rectangle represents the superconducting winding. Figure 4 has a zoom at the superconductor region in order to show the tramodel line. In addition, the air and the iron-core are modeled. The materials properties are summarized in Table 1:

**Table 1 Materials properties used at present work**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( \sigma )</th>
<th>( \mu )</th>
<th>( \rho )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>1 S/m</td>
<td>( 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} ) H/m</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{\Omega \cdot m} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>( 6 \cdot 10^7 ) S/m</td>
<td>( 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} ) H/m</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The B-H hysteresis curve for the ferromagnetic core is modeled by an interpolation function, and is observed in figure 5:
The simulations were done in a commercial software COMSOL, where the Magnetic Field physics was used to model the A-V formulation. The H-formulation was implemented by PDE using the General Form PDE.

5. RESULTS

The results are presented and discussed in this section. The mesh used to compute the A-V and H-formulations can be observed in figure 6. The minimum element quality is 0.4868 and the Average element quality is 0.8581.

In figure 7 is possible to see the distribution of the current density normalized by the engineering critical current density inside the superconductor, where the $I_{dc}$ is the direct current applied in the superconductor coil. This behavior follows the Power-Law equation introduced in the above section.

Finally, the results of the prospective and limited current are presented in figure 8. The prospective fault current was set in 1.6kA and the normal operating current was 30A, this current is used to simulate the system operating normally. The direct current of the superconductor was varied with these values 50, 60, 70, 140 and 220 A, in order to analyze the effect of the direct current’s value in the limitation of the short circuit.

This simulation result is compared with the real-life test done in (Fajoni et al., 2015). In Fajoni’s works, the windings are of the copper and the tests are done for 1.6kA prospective fault current. The maximum value of the limited fault current measured by Fajoni was 1284A and the direct current applied in DC coil in this situation was equal to 70 A. In the simulation, the maximum value calculated is equal to 1285 A. Comparing the Fajoni’s result with the simulation results with the same direct current applied in DC coil, the relative error is equal to 0.08%. Hence, it demonstrates the simulation has a good agreement with the results of the real-life test done by Fajoni.

6. CONCLUSION

This work presented a simulation of the inductive saturated core SCFL using finite elements and the A-V-H formulation. The critical current density profile was presented for different direct currents applied. The dependence of the magnetic flux density was implemented in the model of the superconducts critical current density. The future works will study the influence of the leakage magnetic flux density of the core over the superconductor and its critical current density.

This work presented the limitation of the short circuit for five different direct currents applied at the superconductor coil. A comparison of the simulation results with Fajoni’s results is done and it presents good quality results when comparing it with real-life tests.

In future works, a 3d version of this simulation will be done. With this model, it will be possible to simulate different short circuits types, such as a three-phase short circuit and a bi-phase short circuit. The 3D model can be implemented with 3D- A-V-H formulation, expanding the formulation developed in (Brambilla et al., 2018) or it can be modeled by 3D T-A formulation (Benkel et al., 2019; Berrospe-Juarez et al., 2018).
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